

# MUCH MARCLE PARISH COUNCIL

## Report of the Neighbourhood Plan Working Party held in the Memorial Hall on Wednesday 17<sup>th</sup> February 2016

Present:	J Weston	Acting Chair
Also Present	Cllr T Weston J Gibbon	J Finnigan B Morgan
<b>1. Apologies</b>	K Cotton R White	J Marsden H Woodman

### **2. Declarations of interest**

No interests were declared.

### **3. Report 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2016**

The report was accepted subject to an amendment in Item 4 Matters Arising “that Ver 1.9 Issues, Objectives, Evidence Justification and Draft Policies Document and Draft 2 of the Evidence Base Summary Report be **noted**. (In place of approved).

### **4. Matters Arising**

a) On enquiry T Weston reported that the Parish Council was happy overall with the approach adopted by the Working Group and accepted that the numbers of buildings required for development were achievable within the strategy adopted.

#### **b) ACTION:**

J Gibbon to ensure that the Parish Clerk received the documents:

Ver 1.9 Issues, Objectives, Evidence Justification and Draft Policies Document (Dated 2016-02-02) and Draft 2 of the Evidence Base Summary Report, so that the Parish Council members were fully briefed.

#### **c) ACTION:**

J Gibbon to forward a copy of Ver 1.9 Issues, Objectives, Evidence Justification and Draft Policies Document (Dated 2016-02-02) to all members.

d) The number of wildlife sites was yet to be verified. (H Woodman)

#### **e) ACTION:**

T Weston to complete the Text references for Kynaston and Rushall so that they could be included in the Evidence Base Summary Report.

#### **f) Rushall Settlement Boundary**

The straightening of the boundary to include the orchard on the south easterly side of the cross-roads was proposed. This is to be confirmed at the next meeting.

### **5. Much Marcle Settlement Boundary**

Members were recommended to visit the Walwyn Court site to study the new development.

Concern was expressed about the dangers of encroachment towards Helens. A decision would be made at the next meeting.

## **6. Review of Local Green Space Boundary at Swan Cottage**

J Gibbon expressed a family interest.

The field was noted to contain an ancient ditch.

Members felt that they did not fully comprehend the implications of including/not including the house and barn in the local green space and decided to review the situation at the next meeting.

Members were requested to forward any information that they came across concerning the criteria used for development in nominated green space.

## **7. Planning for the Public Meeting**

One meeting only was proposed to take place on Monday April 11<sup>th</sup> at 7.00pm in the Memorial Hall.

### **ACTION:**

J Gibbon was asked to attempt to have an early notification of the meeting published in the March edition of the Mercury.

Details discussed of the meeting are provided in the accompanying document "OutlinePlanPublicMeeting2016".

J. Gibbon

February 2016